

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,497	01/05/2001	Michael A. Komara	6785-128	5233
39207 75	90 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
SACCO & AS	SOCIATES, PA	CRAVER, CHARLES R		
P.O. BOX 3099	9 GARDENS, FL 33420-0	999	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
I ALM BLACH	GINDENS, IE 33420-0	***	2682	6
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Amiliaantis				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· ale au a		09/755,497	KOMARA ET AL.				
Οπιсе Ας	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charles R Craver	2682				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specil - If NO period for reply is specil - Failure to reply within the second reply received by the Company of the	OF THIS COMMUNICAT available under the provisions of 37 C in the mailing date of this communicatified above is less than thirty (30) days edified above, the maximum statutory et or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	25 February 2004.					
2a)☐ This action is F		This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this appl	atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accor	dance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> i	s/are pending in the applic	ation.					
		thdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-26</u>	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 ar</u>	nd 9-18 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/ar	e objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specificatio	n is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
·	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
		to the drawing(s) be held in abey					
Replacement dra	wing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 Cf	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or dec	laration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
a)□ All b)□ So	me * c)∏ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C ments have been received.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
		ments have been received in	Application No.				
		priority documents have be		Stage			
	on from the International B		SITTEGETYEE III UIIS TABUUTIAI	Stage			
		a list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
		·					
Add a draw a mild = 3							
Attachment(s)	nd (DTO 902)	∧ □	O				
 Notice of References Cit Notice of Draftsperson's 	ed (P10-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) 🔲 Interview 8) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure S	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	SB/08) 5) Notice of	of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	6) Other: _	·				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wessel et al in view of Shaw, both of record.

Claim 1: Wessel discloses a wideband transceiver (col 1 lines 45-55 and 66-col 2 line 3) for a base station (col 5 lines 20-22) in a cellular system which communicates with a number of subscribers, including equalization means comprising

inherently, assigning a number of transmit/receive carriers to the wideband receiver, and

modifying the response of the wideband transceiver using amplitude predistortion (col 6 line 35-col 7 line 12), the pre-distorting means using memory (col 7 line 66-col 8 line 8), and thus inherently software.

Wessel fails to disclose flattening the spectral power of the carrier frequencies.

Shaw discloses an analogous art, that is, means for modifying the response of a transceiver by using pre-distortion (col 2 lines 3-34), and further using said pre-distortion and filtering to flatten the spectral response power (col 4 line 22-col 5 line 18).

Art Unit: 2682

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add such a feature to Wessel; Wessel discloses the utility of linearizing the transceiver's behavior, while Shaw discloses the same utility, adding that flattening the power aids in lowering noise. Adding the flattening of Shaw would thus further better the response of the transceiver of Wessel. Claim 2: the flattening of the combined invention of Wessel in view of Shaw would inherently flatten each carrier and provide an output level for a respective input level. Claim 3: Wessel discloses that the pre-distorting means applies coefficients to the wideband signal (col 4 lines 1-9), and that the pre-distortion compensates for the effects of several circuits in the system. including a DAC (col 8 lines 9-32). Claims 4 and 5: although Wessel in view of Shaw fails to disclose ripple and filter roll-off distortion, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized that such types of distortion may have been present in the output signal of Wessel in view of Shaw, and as such would have been a part of the correction signal (Wessel, element 54) and thus compensated for. Note especially that Wessel discloses roll-off-type distortion as a problem, see col 5 lines 61-67. Claims 6 and 7: Wessel discloses that the coefficients are determined by taking measurements of the wideband signal automatically (col 9 lines 26-61), which reads an ABRFTT, and is functionally equivalent to making piecemeal measurements of the wideband spectrum. Claims 9 and 10: Wessel discloses storing the coefficients in a memory, specifically in a look-up table (col 9 line 62-col 1 line 3), inherently allowing the interchangability of transceivers. Claim 11: Wessel discloses gain coefficients, which would set gains for the entire spectrum.



Art Unit: 2682

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appel, US Pat 6,223,056 in view of Wessel and Shaw.

Claim 12: Appel discloses a CDMA (wideband) transceiver for a base station in a cellular system which communicates with a number of subscribers, comprising receiver (202) coupled to a plurality of digitized receiver signals inherently from A/D conversion, and a transmitter (203) coupled to an analog signal from a multichannel signal combiner (col 6 lines 4-18), inherently using D/A conversion, including a number of DSP's for modifying the response of the wideband transceiver using software amplitude modification (col 6 lines 19-49).

Appel fails to disclose flattening the power of the carrier frequencies using predistortion.

Wessel discloses an analogous art, that is, means for modifying the response of a CDMA wideband transceiver for linearity (col 6 line 35-col 7 line 12, col 7 line 66-col 8 line 8), wherein software pre-distortion is preferably utilized to correct the response of the wideband transceiver (col 1 lines 45-65 and col 2 lines 15-20).

Shaw discloses an analogous art, that is, means for modifying the response of a transceiver by using pre-distortion (col 2 lines 3-34), and further using said pre-distortion and filtering to flatten the spectral response power (col 4 line 22-col 5 line 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add such features to Appel; Wessel discloses the utility of linearizing the transceiver's behavior using pre-distortion, while Shaw discloses the same utility, adding that flattening the power aids in lowering noise. Adding the

Art Unit: 2682

flattening of Shaw would thus further better the response of the transceiver of Appel. Claim 13: although Appel in view of Wessel and Shaw fails to disclose ripple and filter roll-off distortion, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized that such types of distortion may have been present in the output signal of Wessel in view of Shaw, and as such would have been a part of the correction signal (Wessel, element 54) and thus compensated for. Note especially that Wessel discloses roll-off-type distortion as a problem, see col 5 lines 61-67. Claim 15: Wessel discloses that the pre-distorting means applies coefficients to the wideband signal (col 4 lines 1-9), and that the pre-distortion compensates for the effects of several circuits in the system, including a DAC (col 8 lines 9-32). Claim 15: Appel further discloses a transceiver processor (215) connected to a memory (220), and Wessel discloses storing the coefficients in a memory, specifically in a look-up table (col 9 line 62-col 1 line 3) and gain coefficients, which would set gains for the entire spectrum. Claim 16: to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious use of the transceiver of Appel in view of Wessel and Shaw to apply it to a repeater for the purposes of extending cellular system range.

Claim 17: Appel discloses a CDMA (wideband) transceiver for a base station in a cellular system which communicates with a number of subscribers, comprising transceiving means including

a receiver (202) coupled to a plurality of digitized receiver signals inherently from A/D conversion, and a transmitter (203) coupled to an analog signal from a multi-channel signal combiner (col 6 lines 4-18), inherently using D/A conversion, including

Art Unit: 2682

a number of DSP's for modifying the response of the wideband transceiver using software amplitude modification (col 6 lines 19-49).

Appel fails to disclose flattening the power of the carrier frequencies using predistortion.

Wessel discloses an analogous art, that is, means for modifying the response of a CDMA wideband transceiver for linearity (col 6 line 35-col 7 line 12, col 7 line 66-col 8 line 8), wherein software pre-distortion is preferably utilized to correct the response of the wideband transceiver (col 1 lines 45-65 and col 2 lines 15-20).

Shaw discloses an analogous art, that is, means for modifying the response of a transceiver by using pre-distortion (col 2 lines 3-34), and further using said pre-distortion and filtering to flatten the spectral response power (col 4 line 22-col 5 line 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add such features to Appel; Wessel discloses the utility of linearizing the transceiver's behavior using pre-distortion, while Shaw discloses the same utility, adding that flattening the power aids in lowering noise. Adding the flattening of Shaw would thus further better the response of the transceiver of Appel. Lastly, given that Appel discloses a cellular system, a number of Base Station transceivers would be inherent. Claim 18: to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious use of the transceiver of Appel in view of Wessel and Shaw to apply it to a repeater for the purposes of extending cellular system range.

Art Unit: 2682

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-26 are allowed.

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 8 teaches towards a method for flattening output power in a base station wideband transceiver using pre-distortion, wherein ripple and filter roll-off distortion are compensated for by making IF measurements and wideband step-through channel measurements automatically to determine 25 narrowband pre-distortion coefficients for a 5 MHZ IF bandwidth and 300 wideband coefficients for a 60 MHZ RF bandwidth having 200 kHz channels.

Claims 19 and 23 teach towards a method and system for flattening output power in a base station wideband transceiver using pre-distortion, including storage of a generic set of coefficients representative of amplitude distortions from A/D conversions, and a set of specific coefficients specific to a given transcevier, and equalizing an amplitude response of the tranceiver at a number of transmit and receive frequencies within a selected segment using the two sets of coefficients.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12 and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2682

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 for both formal and informal/draft communications, labeled as such.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, sixth floor (receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Charles Craver at (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-4700.

CC

C.Craver

May 14, 2004